

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/671,502	09/29/2003	Masashi Hamanaka	60188-658	8488	
7590 08/02/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/671,502	HAMANAKA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		M Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	av 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,9,10,17-19 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 7,9,10,17-19 and 21 is/are rejected.						
7)) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 30 May 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of species 2 in the reply filed on 11 May 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 17, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lujan et al, 6,884,145 in view of Matsuo et al, 5,110,077. '145 discloses the claimed invention, including a plastic tubing for delivering slurry to a CMP system, but does not disclose the use of a *vinyl chloride tube* substantially without reinforcing fibers. '077 teaches that it is old and well known to make tubing from vinyl chloride. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 3723

the invention was made to have provided '145 with tubing of vinyl chloride, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Here, '077 teaches that such tubing has high mechanical strength, and high heat and chemical resistance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 3-5, filed 30 May 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 7, 9, 10, 17, 18, 19 and 21 under Neto, 5,634,497 in view of Salugsugan, 5,486,265A, Adams et al, 5,755,614, or Hayden, 6,015,499 and JP10152976A have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lujan et al. 6,884,145 and Matsuo et al. 5,110,077. Regarding applicant's arguments that he has another benefit from using a vinyl chloride tubing that is not concerned with the life of the tube, please refer to MPEP 2145, which states in part: "The fact that appellant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious." Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). Here, applicant argues that he is not concerned with extending the life of the tube, but in preventing scratches on the work piece. That the tube taught by '077 recognizes a different benefit does not negate the teaching that such tubing is old and well known, and that one of ordinary skill in the art,

baring other evidence that such material is known to be unsuitable in CMP applications, would consider the use of such tubing obvious for the reasons stated above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M Rachuba

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3723,